

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,531	02/04/2004	Ernest Heinz	2822		
75	90 06/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Joseph E. Funk			GROSSO, I	HARRY A	
PO Box 661 Londonderry, N	IH 03053		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3727	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2009	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	4			
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		531	HEINZ, ERNEST				
		er	Art Unit				
	Harry A.		3727				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on th	ie cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres:	'S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and o y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>04 February 2</i> 6	004.					
	2b)⊠ This action is						
3) Since this application is in condition	<u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restri	e withdrawn from cor						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 February Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected that any objected the control of the con	2004 is/are: a)⊠ acction to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I as Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/771,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) Figure 1

2) Figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Joseph Funk on June 15, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species 2 (Figure 2), claims 16-17. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 16 recites the limitation "the notch" in line 5 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/771,531

Art Unit: 3727

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 4

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and e) as being anticipated by Nagy (6,561,375). Nagy discloses a plurality of disposable plates (Figure 3, column 3, lines 64-66) with a flat central portion (26) and a raised rim (24) with a cutout in the outer edge of the rim (44, Figure 4, column 5, lines 19-20) adaptable to assist in separating the plates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagy. Claim 17 recites that the cutout in the rim of each plate is offset with respect to the cutout in the rim of adjacent plates. Nagy discloses the plate system of claim 16 but is silent on the orientation of the cutout in the rims of the plates, however, applicant does not disclose that the orientation of the cutouts relative to each other solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. The plurality of plates of Nagy would be inherently capable of being stacked with the cutouts in the rims in any orientation desired relative to each other. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Art Unit: 3727

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have stacked the plate of Nagy with the cutouts in the rims in any orientation preferred.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huff (1,938,909) discloses a disposable plate with a rim cutout.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3727